Definitions, amended, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.37 Means of Egress, amended, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.38 Employee Emergency Plans and Fire prevention plans, revised, dated September 12, 1980; Appendix to Subpart E, Means of Egress, added, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.107, Spray finishing using flammable and combustible materials, amended, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.108, Dip tanks containing flammable or combustible liquids, amended, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.109 Explosives and Blasting, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.156 renumbered 29 CFR 1910.155 and revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.164, Fire Brigades renumbered 29 CFR 1910.156 Fire Brigades, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.157 Portable Fire Extinguishers, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.158 Standpipes and Hose systems, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.159 Automatic sprinkler systems, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.160, Fixed Extinguisher Systems, General, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.161, Fixed Extinguishing Systems Dry Chemical, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.162, Fixed Extinguishing Systems Gaseous agent, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.163, Fixed Extinguishing Systems Water Spray and Foam, revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.164 Fire Detection Systems, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.165 Employee Alarm Systems revised, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.165(a) and 29 CFR 1910.165(b) revoked, dated September 12, 1980; Appendices A-Fire Protection, B-National Consensus Standards, C-Fire Protection, D-Publications, E-Test Methods for protective clothing, added to Subpart L, dated September 12, 1980; 29 CFR 1910.1043 Cotton Dust, Sampling Equipment, dated October 10, 1980; 29 CFR 1926.500 Guardrails, handrail and covers, added, dated November 14, 1980; 29 CFR 1926.502 Definitions, added, November 14, 1980; Appendix "A" to Subpart M, added, dated November 14, 1980. These standards were promulgated by filing with the Tennessee Secretary of State on March 18, 1980, July 29, 1981, respectively, pursuant to the Tennessee Ocupational Safety and Health Act of 1972 (Title 50, Chapter 5, Tennessee Code annotated as amended July 1, 1977).

2. *Decision.* Having reviewed the State submission in comparison with the Federal standards, it has been determined that the updated standards are identical to Federal Standards. The standards are hereby approved.

3. *Location of supplement for inspection and copying.* A copy of the standard supplement along with the approved plan, may be inspected and copied during normal business hours at the following locations: Office of the Commissioner of Labor, 501 Union Building, Nashville, Tennessee 37219; Office of the Regional Administrator, Suite 587, 1375 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30367; Office of the Director of Federal Compliance and State Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

4. *Public participation.* Under 29 CFR 1953.2(c) the Assistant Secretary may prescribe alternative procedures to expedite the review process or for other good cause which may be consistent with applicable laws. The Assistant Secretary finds good cause exists for not publishing the supplement to the Tennessee State plan as a proposed change and making the Regional Administrator's approval effective upon publication for the following reasons:

1. The standards are identical to the Federal standards and are therefore deemed to be at least as effective.

2. The standards were adopted in accordance with procedural requirements of State law and further public participation would be unnecessary.

This decision is effective July 26, 1983. (Sec. 18, Pub. L. 91-596, 84 Stat. 1608 (29 U.S.C. 667))

Signed at Atlanta, Georgia, this 15th day of June, 1982.

William W. Gordon,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 83-20150 Filed 7-25-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

[Docket No. HQ75218210015]

Oral Argument in the case of Social Security Administration, Department of Health and Human Services v. Robert W. Goodman, Administrative Law Judge, MSPB

AGENCY: Merit Systems Protection Board.

ACTION: Notice of hearing and opportunity to participate in oral argument in the case of *Social Security Administration, Department of Health and Human Services* v. *Robert W. Goodman, Administrative Law Judge,* MSPB Docket No. HQ75218210015.

SUMMARY: In September, the Board will hear oral argument in the case of *Social Security Administration, Department of Health and Human Services* v. *Robert W. Goodman, Administrative Law Judge,* MSPB Docket No. HQ75218210015. *Social Security Administration* v. *Robert W. Goodman* is the first case under 5 U.S.C. 7521 which seeks to remove or otherwise penalize an administrative law judge for reasons which are purely performance related. At issue in this case is whether an administrative law judge may be removed under 5 U.S.C. 7521 for being insufficiently productive or whether the "good cause" standard of 5 U.S.C. 7521 can not be interpreted to encompass low productivity.

In addition to the parties to the *Goodman* case, the Board invites participation by interested persons, agencies and organizations. Requests to participtae in the argument must be made in writing. They should be accompanied by a brief or other legal argument (original and one copy) indicating the position the requestor is expected to take at the hearing and the legal reasons therefor. Interested parties who wish to participate but who do not wish to participate in the oral argument may file amicus briefs. In order to eliminate duplicative argument, the Board may limit participationin the oral argument. All written materials received by the deadline will, however, be considered by the Board.

Oral argument in this case will not be limited to any specific issues identified below. However, briefs submitted in response to this notice should be limited to the following issues:

1. Does the Board have the authority to determine the appropriate penalty when "good cause" has been found under 5 U.S.C. 7521, or is the Board limited to accepting, mitigating or rejecting the agency's proposed penalty?

2. If the Board does have the authority to impose a sanction other than the one proposed by the agency, does the Board have the authority to order the demotion of an administrative law judge to a position other than that of an administrative law judge?

3. What is the relationship, if any, of the "good cause" standard of 5 U.S.C. 7521(a) to the "efficiency of the service standard" of 5 U.S.C. 7513 and/or to the "good behavior" standard of Article III of the U.S. Constitution?

4. If low productivity may constitute good cause for removal of an administrative law judge, what evidence must the employing agency introduce in order to meet its burden of proof?

DATES: Submission of requests to participate in oral argument and/or submission of briefs: August 19, 1983. Oral argument will be on September 22, 1983, at 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Requests to participate in oral argument and/or submission of briefs: Office of the Secretary, Attention: Delores Satterfield, Merit Systems Protection Board, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20419. Oral argument will be heard in Room 801, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph J. Ellis, Merit Systems Protection Board, Office of General Counsel, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20419.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of the recommended decision of the administrative law judge in the *Goodman* case may be obtained from the Office of the Secretary, Merit Systems Protection Board, 1120 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20419.

For the Board:
Dated: July 21, 1983.

Herbert E. Ellingwood,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 83-20156 Filed 7-25-83, 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7400-01-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 83-66]

Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed information collection requests to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made the submission. In addition, agencies are required to publish notice of those regulations which include information requirements on the public before December 31, 1983. The NASA Procurement Regulation and the NASA Patent Regulation require information from the public. The information required by the NASA Procurement Regulation is submitted for OMB clearance for the first time. The information requirements for the NASA Patent Regulation (Title 14 Section 1245.207) have already been cleared by OMB (2700-0039) and are mentioned here as a collection of information contained in an existing regulation.

Copies of the proposed forms, the request for clearance (S.F. 83), supporting statement, instructions, transmittal letters, and other documents submitted to OMB for review, may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer. Comments on the items listed should be submitted to the Agency Clearance Officer and the OMB Reviewer.

DATE: Comments must be received in writing by August 5, 1983. If you anticipate commenting on a form but find that time to prepare will prevent you from submitting comments promptly, you should advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer of your intent as early as possible.

ADDRESS:

Christine Cabell, NASA Agency Clearance Officer, Code NSM-23, NASA Headquarters, Washington, D.C. 20546

Suzann Evinger, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Cabell, NASA Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 755-8390

Reports

Title: NASA Procurement Regulation
Type of Request: Existing Collection in Use Without an OMB Control Number
Frequency of Report: As Required
Type of Respondent: Individuals Small and Large Businesses, State and Local Governments and Non-Profit Institutions
Annual Responses: 398,452
Annual Reporting Hours: 9,562,848
Number Recordkeepers: 56,120
Total Recordkeeping Hours: 112,240
Total Annual Burden: 9,675,088

Abstract-Needs/Uses: The contract forms and record keeping requirements used in collecting information from the public provide management data to NASA, allow contract monitoring and meet other Executive and Legislative Branch information levies.

Title: Information Collection from Public in Support of NASA Acquisition Process
Type of Request: Existing Collection in Use Without an OMB Control Number
Frequency of Report: One Time Response and as Required by Contract
Type of Respondent: Individuals, Small and Large Business, State and Local Governments and Non-Profit Institutions
Annual Responses: 514,487
Annual Reporting Hours: 17,492,388.

Abstract-Needs/Uses: Information collection is required to evaluate bids, proposals and other responses from potential contractors as the basis for making awards for mission required goods and services and supplies, in conformance with the Space Act, 42 U.S.C. 2451 et seq.

Ann P. Bradley,
Acting Associate Adminstrator for Management.
July 18, 1983.
[FR Doc. 83-19783 Filed 7-25-83, 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Applications for Licenses To Export Nuclear Facilities or Materials

Pursuant to 10 CFR 110.70(b) "Public notice of receipt of an application", please take notice that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has received the following applications for export licenses. A copy of each application is on file in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room located at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

A request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene may be filed within 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Any request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene shall be served by the requestor or petitioner upon the applicant, the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the Executive Secretary, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520.

In its review of applications for licenses to export production or utilization facilities, special nuclear materials or source material, noticed herein, the Commission does not evaluate the health, safety or environmental effects in the recipient nation of the facility or material to be exported. The table below lists all new major applications.

Dated this 19th day of July 1983 At Bethesda, Maryland.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Marin R. Peterson,
Acting Assistant Director Export/Import and International Safeguards Office of International Programs.