

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD**

DOUGLAS DEMAIO,

Appellant,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE,

Agency,

and

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT,

Petitioner.

DOCKET NUMBERS

AT-0752-23-0003-R-1

AT-0752-23-0003-N-1

DATE: August 27, 2026

Sterling Deramus, Esquire, Birmingham, Alabama, for the appellant.

Michael B. Stevens, Esquire, Montgomery, Alabama, for the agency.

D. Black, Esquire, Washington, D.C., for the petitioner.

BEFORE

James J. Woodruff II, Chairman¹

Henry J. Kerner, Vice Chairman

ORDER

The Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has filed a petition for reconsideration of the Board's March 31, 2026 Order in *DeMaio v. Department of the Army*, MSPB Docket No. AT-0752-23-0003-I-2, in which the Board determined that it lacked a quorum to consider the agency's petition for review of the initial decision that reversed the appellant's removal. OPM has also filed a motion to stay

¹ Except for purposes of correcting the caption in this matter, as stated below, Chairman Woodruff recused himself and did not participate in the adjudication of this appeal.

the Board's Order pending the adjudication of its reconsideration petition. We JOIN these matters pursuant to 5 C.F.R. § 1201.36 because doing so will expedite processing without adversely affecting the interests of the parties.

OPM's reconsideration request raised three arguments: (1) that the Board incorrectly designated the Department of the Army, rather than the Department of the Air Force, as respondent agency; (2) that the administrative judge "improperly inquired into a matter which the Board cannot review"; and (3) that the Board lacked jurisdiction over the appeal. *DeMaio v. Department of the Air Force*, MSPB Docket No. AT-0752-23-0003-R-1, Reconsideration File, Tab 3 at 4.

Chairman Woodruff has substantively participated in this appeal only regarding the first issue raised by OPM: the limited question of the responding agency in this matter. By this Order, the Board has redesignated the Department of the Air Force as the proper agency.

Chairman Woodruff has otherwise recused himself from consideration of the merits of OPM's petition for reconsideration and motion for a stay. Because there is no quorum to alter the Board's March 31, 2026 decision or stay its effect, the February 13, 2024 initial decision, as modified by this Order to reflect the proper agency party, remains the final decision of the Merit Systems Protection Board in the underlying appeal. Title 5 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 1200.3(b) (5 C.F.R. § 1200.3(b)). This decision shall not be considered as precedent by the Board in any other case. 5 C.F.R. § 1200.3(e). The appeal rights described below run from the issuance of this Order. The Director may seek judicial review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL RIGHTS²

You may obtain review of the Board's Order in *DeMaio v. Department of the Air Force*, MSPB Docket No. AT-0752-23-0003-I-2, as modified by this decision. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(a)(1). By statute, the nature of your claims determines the time limit for seeking such review and the appropriate forum with which to file. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(b). Although we offer the following summary of available appeal rights, the Merit Systems Protection Board does not provide legal advice on which option is most appropriate for your situation and the rights described below do not represent a statement of how courts will rule regarding which cases fall within their jurisdiction. If you wish to seek review of this final decision, you should immediately review the law applicable to your claims and carefully follow all filing time limits and requirements. Failure to file within the applicable time limit may result in the dismissal of your case by your chosen forum.

Please read carefully each of the three main possible choices of review below to decide which one applies to your particular case. If you have questions about whether a particular forum is the appropriate one to review your case, you should contact that forum for more information.

(1) Judicial review in general. As a general rule, an appellant seeking judicial review of a final Board order must file a petition for review with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which must be received by the court within **60 calendar days** of the date of issuance of this decision. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(b)(1)(A).

² Since the issuance of the initial decision in this matter, the Board may have updated the notice of review rights included in final decisions. As indicated in the notice, the Board cannot advise which option is most appropriate in any matter.

If you submit a petition for review to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, you must submit your petition to the court at the following address:

U.S. Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit
717 Madison Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20439

Additional information about the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit is available at the court's website, www.ca9c.uscourts.gov. Of particular relevance is the court's "Guide for Pro Se Petitioners and Appellants," which is contained within the court's Rules of Practice, and Forms 5, 6, 10, and 11.

If you are interested in securing pro bono representation for an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, you may visit our website at <http://www.mspb.gov/probono> for information regarding pro bono representation for Merit Systems Protection Board appellants before the Federal Circuit. The Board neither endorses the services provided by any attorney nor warrants that any attorney will accept representation in a given case.

(2) Judicial or EEOC review of cases involving a claim of discrimination.

This option applies to you only if you have claimed that you were affected by an action that is appealable to the Board and that such action was based, in whole or in part, on unlawful discrimination. If so, you may obtain judicial review of this decision—including a disposition of your discrimination claims—by filing a civil action with an appropriate U.S. district court (*not* the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit), within **30 calendar days** after you receive this decision. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(b)(2); *see Perry v. Merit Systems Protection Board*, 582 U.S. 420 (2017). If you have a representative in this case, and your representative receives this decision before you do, then you must file with the district court no later than **30 calendar days** after your representative receives this decision. If the action involves a claim of discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or a disabling condition, you may be entitled to representation by a

court-appointed lawyer and to waiver of any requirement of prepayment of fees, costs, or other security. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f) and 29 U.S.C. § 794a.

Contact information for U.S. district courts can be found at their respective websites, which can be accessed through the link below:

http://www.uscourts.gov/Court_Locator/CourtWebsites.aspx.

Alternatively, you may request review by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) of your discrimination claims only, excluding all other issues. 5 U.S.C. § 7702(b)(1). You must file any such request with the EEOC's Office of Federal Operations within **30 calendar days** after you receive this decision. 5 U.S.C. § 7702(b)(1). If you have a representative in this case, and your representative receives this decision before you do, then you must file with the EEOC no later than **30 calendar days** after your representative receives this decision.

If you submit a request for review to the EEOC by regular U.S. mail, the address of the EEOC is:

Office of Federal Operations
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
P.O. Box 77960
Washington, D.C. 20013

If you submit a request for review to the EEOC via commercial delivery or by a method requiring a signature, it must be addressed to:

Office of Federal Operations
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
131 M Street, N.E.
Suite 5SW12G
Washington, D.C. 20507

(3) Judicial review pursuant to the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act of 2012. This option applies to you only if you have raised claims of reprisal for whistleblowing disclosures under 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8) or other protected activities listed in 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B), (C), or (D). If so, and your judicial petition for review “raises no challenge to the Board’s

disposition of allegations of a prohibited personnel practice described in section 2302(b) other than practices described in section 2302(b)(8), or 2302(b)(9)(A)(i), (B), (C), or (D),” then you may file a petition for judicial review either with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction.³ The court of appeals must receive your petition for review within **60 days** of the date of issuance of this decision. 5 U.S.C. § 7703(b)(1)(B).

If you submit a petition for judicial review to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, you must submit your petition to the court at the following address:

U.S. Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit
717 Madison Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20439

Additional information about the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit is available at the court’s website, www.cafc.uscourts.gov. Of particular relevance is the court’s “Guide for Pro Se Petitioners and Appellants,” which is contained within the court’s Rules of Practice, and Forms 5, 6, 10, and 11.

If you are interested in securing pro bono representation for an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, you may visit our website at <http://www.mspb.gov/probono> for information regarding pro bono representation for Merit Systems Protection Board appellants before the Federal Circuit. The Board neither endorses the services provided by any attorney nor warrants that any attorney will accept representation in a given case.

³ The original statutory provision that provided for judicial review of certain whistleblower claims by any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction expired on December 27, 2017. The All Circuit Review Act, signed into law by the President on July 7, 2018, permanently allows appellants to file petitions for judicial review of MSPB decisions in certain whistleblower reprisal cases with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any other circuit court of appeals of competent jurisdiction. The All Circuit Review Act is retroactive to November 26, 2017. Pub. L. No. 115-195, 132 Stat. 1510.

Contact information for the courts of appeals can be found at their respective websites, which can be accessed through the link below:

http://www.uscourts.gov/Court_Locator/CourtWebsites.aspx.

FOR THE BOARD:

Washington, D.C.

Gina K. Grippando

Gina K. Grippando
Clerk of the Board